## PROBLEMS OF NOSTRATIC COMPARATIVE PHONOLOGY

# A. Dolgopolsky, Haifa

Nostratic consonant system Nostratic consonant system according to Illič-Svitič at the present state of research (A. Dolgopolsky) ("Opit sravneniya nostratičeskix yazykov") p'pb t 1 r n 1 r t t c 3 С Ç 3 s z ńĺfy ć 3 ś ś ź?? ń ĺ f j ć ć ź ۲ 3 ž š έλ k k k g χ q 9 ٢ h þ h h

Symbols: Illič-Svitič: under-dot (t, c, k) — glottalized consonants (ejectives); h — voiceless pharyngeal fricative; f — voiceless and voiced lateral fricatives; f q, f — postvelar stops; f 3, f — voiced affricates.

Dolgopolsky: under-dot - glottalized consonants;  $\eta$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$  - postalveolar (cacuminal, retroflex?) n, 1; circumflex ( $\hat{c}$ ,  $\hat{c}$ ,  $\hat{s}$ ,  $\hat{s}$ ,  $\hat{z}$ ) denotes lateral obstruents; 3,  $\hat{s}$ ,  $\hat{s}$ ,  $\hat{s}$  - voiced affricates; q, q, 9 - uvular stops:  $\chi$ ,  $\gamma$  - uvular fricatives (= Arabic  $\dot{c}$ ,  $\dot{c}$ );  $\dot{r}$  - voiceless epiglottal (pharyngeal) fricative (Arabic  $\dot{c}$ );  $\hat{s}$  - epiglottal (pharyngeal) approximant (Arabic  $\dot{c}$ ).

Vladislav Illič-Svitič (1932 - 1966) was the first scholar to discover regular phoneme correspondences between the daughter-languages of the Nostratic macrofamily and to reconstruct the underlying proto-Nostratic phonemes, as well as some 600 Nostratic roots.

Since Illič-Svitič's death 22 years ago there have been many important achievements in Hamito-Semitic (especially Chadic, Cushitic, Semitic and Berber), Uralic, Indo-European and Dravidian comparative linguistics, in Kartvelian lexicography, in Tungusian and Turkic etymology, etc. In the light of these achievements and a remarkable increase in the stock of reconstructed Nostratic stems Illič-Svitič's phonological reconstructions may be verified and, wherever necessary, modified or revised.

The most essential parts of Illič-Svitič's system of reconstructions have been corroborated by a large number of recently discovered Nostratic roots. This is true of his theory of the Nostratic stops and their reflexes (IE mediae = Kartvelian and Hamito-Semitic voiceless = Uralic intervocalic short stops, etc. IE mediae aspiratae = Kartv. and HS voiced stops = Uralic intervocalic voiced fricatives, etc.; IE tenues = Kartv. and HS ejectives and [deglottalized] voiceless stops = Uralic intervocalic long stops, etc.)<sup>1</sup>, as well as of his theory of the IE labialization and palatalization of the gutturals and of the K[artvelian] and HS labialization as reflecting the following p[roto]-N[ostratic] vowel. Other parts of his hypothesis (correspondences of the resonants and a large part of his theory of laryngeals) have been corroborated as well.

<sup>1</sup> No wonder that a recent attempt to establish a different set of IE-Semitic correspondences of stops (made by a scholar not acquainted with the Nostratic studies of Illič-Svitič and his school) has proven to be unsuccessful.

What needs im revision, in my opinion, are some parts of his theory of sibilants and especially affricates.

To my mind, four points are to be reconsidered:

A. The least important change is a different phonetic interpretation of some phonemes reconstructed by V. Illič-Svitič: his \*\$, \*\$\cappa\$ and \*\$\cappa\$ are to be reinterpreted as plain (not palatalized) sibilants \*\$s, \*\$\cappa\$ and \*\$\cappa\$, while IS's \*\$s, \*\$\cappa\$, \*\$\cappa\$ and \*\$\gamma\$ should be understood as palatal sibilants \*\$\s, \*\$\cappa\$, \*\$\cappa\$, and \*\$\gamma\$. Actually my interpretation is more or less identical to that found in an earlier paper by V. Illič-Svitič, namely his article "Materiali k sravneniyu nostratičeskix yazikov" (written in 1965 and published in 1967).

In pN three orders<sup>2</sup> of sibilants (including affricates) are to be distinguished: a \*s-order, a \*s-order and a \* $\tilde{s}$ -order (leaving apart for the moment the lateral \* $\tilde{s}$ -order). The distinction of \*s, \* $\tilde{s}$  and \* $\tilde{s}$ :

Proto-Nostratic

```
IS's inter-
              IS's earlier Kartvelian
                                                           Uralic
pretation
              notation and
("Opit srav-
              my notation
neniya")
               *6
                                                            *6
*ś
              *s
                                (Klimov's *s.)
                                                           *s
*š
              *§
                             *š
                                                           ŧξ.
```

Cp. the distinction between plain and palatal consonants among the resonants:

```
*п
                 *n
                                  *n
                                                                    *n
×ń
                 *ń
                                  *n
                                                                    *π
*1
                 *1
                                  *1
                                                                    *1
*í ~
                 *í
                                  *12, *r/1
                                                                    *ſ.
```

As we can see from the history of the resonants, it was Uralic and not Kartvelian that preserved the Nostratic distinctive feature of palatality. If U \*\hat{n} and \*\hat{1} go back to pN \*\hat{n} and \*\hat{1}, it is reasonable to suggest that the pN sibilant underlying U \*\hat{s} is to be interpreted as \*\hat{s}. As to Kartvelian, in its prehistory there was a sibilant shift:

```
pN *s, *c, *ç, *3 > pKartv. *s, *c, *c, *3
pN *s, *c, *c, *3 > pKartv. *s, *c, *c, *3 (= Klimov's *s<sub>1</sub>, *c<sub>1</sub>, *s<sub>1</sub>).
```

- B. In "Op±t sravneniya" (vol. I, p. 148) we find a correspondence: pN \*3 > Hamito-Semitic \*d, Kartvelian \*31, IE \*-sd- (?), Dravidian \*c-, Altaic \*3-. This sound correspondence and this reconstruction are to be flatly rejected. Here the HS data have been misinterpreted due to a misleading Semitological tráditional notation of the Semitic lateral ejective \*ĉ (or \*§) as "\*d". The alleged sound correspondence is based on three etymologies only:
- (1) N \* Tyjv 'branch' > HS "\* Tyj": Sem. "\* Tyj" (i.e. \* Tit-) 'tree', Eg. 3 Ty 'branch', Berb.: Shilh aggga 'trunk, log', as well as some words of Cushitic and Chadic languages meaning 'tree' and bearing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Order" (articulatory type) in the sense of A. Martinet's phonological theory (A. Martinet, *Eléments* de linguistique générale).

some phonetic resemblance to S \*\ieldarchic-: Beja hindi/e, Saho haļā, Afar haļā, Iraqw xa'teno, Burungi hāda 'stick', Chad "\*Hd" 'tree' > Hausa îtāce, Bolewa oce, Mandara házā (i.e. há:2à) 'tree', etc. | IE \*H'o`sd-o- 'branch' (Illic-Svitic, Opit-sravneniya nostratičeskix yazikov, I, p. 276-7).

(2) N \*3 and 'foetus, pregnancy, posterity' > HS "\*dn-" 'to get pregnant, posterity; brother, sister': Sem. "\*dn-" (i.e. \*\hat{c}n-) > Arab. danw-, dinw- 'small children', dan'- 'posterity', Geez "dns" (i.e. \hat{c}ns) 'to get pregnant' |Cush. 'brother': Beja san, Bilin dan, pl. \hat{s}an, Kemant, Quara z\hat{a}n, Hamir zin, etc.; Kambatta hizo, etc.| Chad. \*(\hat{z})\nabla n- > Mandara bz\hat{s}r-(allegedly from \*mz\nabla n) 'child, sun', Kanakuru, Hina, Tera \hat{3}ina, Gabin \hat{s}\hat{e}na 'step-siblings', etc.| ? Eg. \hat{s}n 'brother': | K \*\hat{3}:ma id. | Drav. \*can-ay 'fcetus, pregnancy' (II.-Sv.,Optt sravmeniya..., II, pp. 111-2).

The third etymology is found (with a query!) in the preliminary publication "Material\* k slovaryu nostratičeskix yaz\*kov" only:

(3) ? N \*3̄∇γ∇ 'to grasp' (= \*3̄∇Υ∇ in the notation of Opit sravmeniya...) > Kartv. \*m3₁iγ- or arbitrary \*m3₁iχ- 'fist' (reconstructed by Illič-Svitič on the assumption that Georg. mǯiγ- 'fist, handful' is a loan-word from a Zan language, i.e. from Megrelian or Laz) || Sem. "\*ἄγξ 'to grasp' > Soqotri "ἄγξ" (today we know that the root in question is \*/ç̃γθ 'to grip' > Jibbali √ṣ̂γθ, Mehri √₂γθ, Soqotri √₂γξ) (Il.-Sv., "Materialik slovaryu nostratičeskix yazikov", Ètimologiya 1965, p. 371).

In the light of new information and new achievements in comparative linguistics etymology (1) is to be rejected (since IE \*H'o'sdo- 'branch' can be better explained as belonging together with Sem. \*xass- 'leafed branch' and Mong. aca(n) 'bifurcated branch' < N \*qacev), the K stem \*jma- and Central Cush. \*3an- 'brother' are to be removed from etymology (2), and in etymology (3) the K root contains \*3- rather than \*3-. It means that the correspondence HS "\*d" (i.e. \*&): K \*3 has no factual basis. Neither is there any basis for postulating a correspondence between HS "\*d" and Alt. \*3 (since Altaic data do not appear in any of the etymologies). Hence there is no reason to assume that HS "\*d" corresponds to voiced consonants in other Nostratic languages. On the origin of HS \*& see below (D, etymological entries (35)-(51)).

In my reconstruction I have a Nostratic phoneme labelled \*3, but it has nothing to do with what Illič-Svitič denoted by this symbol.

C. V. Illič-Svitič was quite right in supposing that there must be voiced counterparts to the voiceless sibilants in Nostratic (a \*z as a counterpart for \*s, etc.), but he could not find more than two etymologies for such \*z-phonemes: one for an alleged \*z (reinterpreted by me as an affricate \*3), and one for \*% (with "uncertainty brackets" for the phoneme in question).

Today we can be more positive in this respect. There are sound correspondences which I prefer to interpret as evidence for pN \*z, \* $\check{z}$  and possibly \* $\check{z}$ :

N	IE	Sem.	Eg.	Chadic Kartv.	Uralic	Turkic	Mong.	Tung.	Drav.
*z	*H	*z	z	*s (JS s <sub>1</sub> ) *z	*s		s	*s	*c
*ź ?	*H	*z		*z	*ś	*s- ?			
*ž	*H	*š	z ?	*z	*š			*s,?*-3-	*c.

For the sake of differentiation cp. the phonologically nearest phonemes: the voiceless sibilants and the voiced affricates:

N	IE	Sem.	Eg.	Chadic	Kartv.	Uralic	Turkic	Mong.	Tung.	Drav.
*s	*s	*š	s ("ś")	*s1	*š	*s	*s	s	*s	*c
*ś	*s	*š	s	*S3	*s	*ś	*s	s	*s	*c
*š	*s	*š	s	*s3	*š	*§	*s	s	*s	*c
*3 3	*s	*z			*3, *z	*s	*j-	3	*3	*c
*3	*s	*z	z ("s")		*3, *z	*s-?	*j-	3	*3	
* <b>š</b>	*s	<b>*</b> δ .			*š		*-δ-	3	*3	

Examples of N roots (originally words) with voiced sibilants:

#### \* z :

- (4) \*z'e'rdV'to feed, rear', 'to grow' (> 'to be fed, reared') > K \*zrd- (or \*żrd-) 'to feed, bring up' || S \*/zrd (or \*√ôrd) 'shoots, sprouts' || IE \*Hordho- 'erect', 'growth', \*Hordh- 'to grow, rise' || ? U \*serä (or \*sērä) 'old (of age), grown up' || D \*ceţ- 'to thrive, grow'.
- (5) \*toxUzV 'to plait, wattle' > K \*txaz- 'to plait (together)' | HS: Chad. (according to Jung-raithmayr and Shimizu) \*/tkws: (> Ngizim tấkws 'to tie', Glavda tấkwas, etc.) | IE \*deH(y)-> \*dē-/ \*de-, \*dēi-/\*dĬ- 'to bind' | FU \*tosV 'a vessel, basket' (+ \*'a wicker basket' + \*'a wattled object') | ?? Mong. tagsi 'a cup'.

#### \* ž •

- (6) \*ŽE'Ś\X|qV'blood' > K \*zisX1- 'blood' HS: ? Eg. zXn'flesh (sp.)' | IE \*hesx-r / \*hsx-n- 'blood' | ? FU (in Ob-Ugr. only) \*čośkV or \*čokŠV (by assimilation from \*\*šoškV) 'blood' | Tung. \*sä:ksä (< \*sä:s-ksä) 'blood' (\*-ksa/\*-ksä is a suffix of uncountable nouns).
- (7) \*ĭom volume 'dream' > K (derived words) \*(s)i-zmar- 'a dream', \*zman- (< \*\*zmar-n-) 'to dream' HS: ? WChad. \*sa:m- 'to sleep, dream' | S \*/≶mr 'to be/keep awake in the night' (← \*'to doze, be half asleep') | IE \*Hon(e)ro- or \*hwen(e)ro- > Late IE \*oner- 'dream' (\*-mr-< \*-mr- by assimilation) | U (FPerm. only) \*šovmjprv 'to dream, to day-dream' (\*-mpr- < \*-mr-).
- (8) \*žUr'i 'to watch, spy' > K \*zwer- (or \*żwer-) 'to spy, peep' S \*šūr- (or \*9ūr-) 'to behold; to watch stealthily, lie in wait' IE \*(H)wer- 'to look, look after, pay attention', \*(H)wor6- 'attentive', \*(H)wor-ah 'attention' ?? Mong. sori- 'to examine, try out, test'.
- (9) \*baže 'to ripen, be cooked (gar werden)', 'to cook' > K \*baz- (or \*baż-) 'to ripen' | HS: S \*/bšl 'to ripen, be cooked' | IE \*bheH-/\*bhoH- 'to warm, to steam, ? to roast' | ? Mong. ba3aga-'to prepare, to get or have ready' | FU (in FPerm. only) \*paše 'to fry, bake, roast'.

## \* ź :

- (10) \* '2ä'rga 'to ram, strike' > K \*zerg- (or \*żerg-) 'feststampfen, festtreten (z.B., Erde)' | S \*/zrg > Arab. /zrǧ 'frapper avec le fer du bout inférieur de la lance' | ? IE \*Rergh- (act. voice) 'to shake', (medium) 'to jump, dance' | ? FU \*ŚärkV (or \*ŝ-) 'to break, to fell' | ? Turkic > OTurk. särk 'earthenware and broken pieces of it'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> My former hypothesis on the reflexes of pN \*3 ("O nostratičeskoy sisteme affrikat i sibilyantov: korni s fonemoy \*5", *Ètimologiya 1972*, Moskva, 1974, pp. 163-175) is to be revised in the light of new evidence.

In etymology (10) the exact identity of the initial voiced sibilant depends on the semantically dubious FU reflex. It means that we have only one unambiguous example of the phoneme \*2 (etymology (11)), which is not enough for any positive conclusions. Hence this phoneme, although theoretically expectable, remains questionable.

Uralic is the only daughter-language distinguishing all three voiced sibilants. Semitic does not distinguish \*z from \*ź, but keeps both of them separate from \*ž. Hence in a root with a voiced sibilant without Uralic and Semitic representation we cannot distinguish among \*z, \*ź and \*ž, which is symbolized as \*Z (unspecified voiced sibilant). Wherever we have no reflexes in Uralic, but do have them in Semitic, \*z is not distinguished from \*ź. This latter case will be symbolized as \*zź.

- (12) \*z|zorV 'to be foreign, hostile' > K \*zar- (or \*żar-) 'to hate, detest' | S \*zar- 'foreign, foreigner, enemy', whence a denominative verb \*/z?r ~ \*/zwr 'to be foreign, hostile' | IE \*Her- 'to be angry, hostile' | Tung. \*sori- 'to fight', \*sori-n 'fight, battle'.
- (13) \*Zig¹o¹dV 'stake, peg', (→) 'paling, fence' > K \*zyude 'fence, wall' | IE \*Hodh-/\*Hedh'Zaunstecken, Zaun aus Pfählen' | Tung. \*sigdi- 'peg' | D \*ci;:jt- 'bamboo pin'.
- (14) \*ZVrV (= \*ZürV < \*ZirU?) 'to fear, frighten' > K \*zar- (or \*żar-) 'to frighten; horror' | Mong. süre- 'to awe, inspire horror' | D \*cūr- 'to frighten'.
- D. Illic-Svit $\pm$ č reconstructed two lateral obstruents in proto-Nostratic: a voiceless fricative  $\pm$ 3 (labelled by him as  $\pm$ 5) and a voiced lateral fricative  $\pm$ 2 (labelled as  $\pm$ 6), but he could find only few roots containing pN  $\pm$ 3 and very little reliable evidence for  $\pm$ 2.

Now, in the light of new information available today, we are in a position to reconstruct a complete set of lateral obstruents: fricatives \*\$ and \*2 and affricates \*\$, \*6 and \*3:

N	IE	s	В	Eg.	Cush.	Chadic	Kartv.	Uralic	Turkic	Mong.	Tung.	Drav.
*ŝ	*s	*ŝ	*s	š	*§		*ŝ	*ŝ	*s	8	*s, *-1-	*c
*2	*1	*ŝ		š	*ŝ	WCh.*2-	*1(?)	*-2-,*1-		-1-	*-1-	*n-, *-ţ-
*ç		*ĉ			*¢		*ċ	*ć,*ŝ			*ć-	*c-,? *-ţţ-
*ĉ	*ks-?	<b>*</b> ĝ		š-		WCh.*\$	*č	*\$-	*č-?	s-??	*ć-	*c-
*ŝ	*1, *s- 5	*ŝ			*g	Ch.*§	*3	*2 ?		3-	<b>3</b> -	•

Nostratic roots with lateral obstruents:

\*ŝ :

- (15) \*\$ah a ra 'moon, moonlight', (+) 'bright, pale (as moon)' > HS \*\$VhVr > S \*'\$ahar-'moon', \*/\$hr 'bright as moon, pale' | Cush. (according to Ehret) \*\$eh- 'moon' | ? U \*\$sarv- 'to be bright' (+ 'to dawn'), 'white' | Mong. \*sasara 'moon'. Cp. otherwise Illič-Svit\*č MS 363 s.v. \*čohra 'bright'.
  - (16) \*\$\tilde{\tilde{u}} \tilde{\tilde{u}} \til

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In a paper published in 1972 ("Nostratičeskie korni s sočetaniem lateral'nogo i zvonkogo laringala", *Ètimologiya 1970*, pp. 356-369) I found that in some roots Uralic \*2 goes back to a pN cluster \*1\(\gamma\) or \*1\(\gamma\). From this observation (which is still valid today) I drew the conclusion that there was no basis for postulating a pN \*2. But recently I have found roots which do require a reconstruction of a Nostratic \*2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The regular IE reflex is \*1, while \*s- appears in the presence of a stem-medial \*-r- (a law of \*1 - \*r-incompatibility).

> Akkad. šūlu 'ein Totengeist (?)' | Cush. \*(\$\frac{1}{2}\tau2\capser- (assimilation from \*\frac{1}{2}\tau1-) > ECush. \*saz\capser- (< \*sa2\capser-) 'heart' | U \*\frac{2}{2}\tau2\tau2\tau3 (me) 'heart' | Mong. sülde 'soul, protecting genius' | Tung. \*s\tau1\tau4\tau4 'heart'. An alternative pN reconstruction is \*\frac{1}{2}\tau2\tau3 , but it does not account for Eg. n in \frac{1}{2}\tau3 'breast' and for S \*1 in Akk. \frac{1}{2}\tau1\tau3 \tau4.

- (17) \*n|naqiŝ'a' 'nose' > S \* $\sqrt{n}\chi$ \$ (derived noun) 'bone of the nose' | IE \*neHs-/\*nHos-'nose' | Tung. \*niaksa ~ \*niaksa 'nose'.
- (18) \*ŝiĺ∇(-m∇) 'to look, examine' > U \*ŝiĺmä 'eye' | Mong. sili- 'to choose' | Tung. \*sılma- 'to examine, choose'.
- (20) \*Küŝ̃̃̃ 'to fell, fall' > K \*guss- 'to fell, bring down' | S \*/kŝ̃ 'jeter quelqu'un par terre de manière qu'il tombe la face contre la terre' | Chad. (Jungr.-Shimizu) \*/(N)g² 'to fall' | Tung. \*<sub>1</sub>x<sub>1</sub>ul- 'to crumble, come down, collapse'.
- (22) \*\$'Ü'L?V or \*\$'Ü'2V 'dew'> FU \*\$u2a 'hoar-frost, frozen dew' | Tung. \*si1a-(ksa)'dew'.
- (23) \*\$äķV 'to split, cut asunder' > ? K \*åskd- 'bersten, platzen, absplittern' | S \*√ŝkk 'to split' | IE \*sek- 'to cut' | FU (in Ob-Ugr. only) \*\$äKrV- 'to chop, cut' | T \*sök 'to tear apart, break through an obstacle' | D \*caekk- 'to chip'.

# \*ĉ:

- (24) \*ĈV(?)NV 'to recognize, know (connaître)', (?) 'to see' > K \*ċan-/\*ċn- 'to recognize' S \*/ŝ?n 'to know (connaître)', \*/ŝny 'to see' | U \*ŝuŋV (or \*śuŋV) 'to see', (der. stem) FU \*ŝ\undsV > pVogul \*šunš- 'to look at, glance'. Contrary to Illič-Svit±č's opinion (OS I 201-2), K \*ċan-/\*ċn- cannot be compared to Demotic Eg. swn 'to know', Hausa sánl, Margi sìnl 'to know' (and related words in other Chadic languages), since these words represent HS \*s<sub>1</sub>-, which does not correspond to K \*ċ-. For this `HS root I have a different etymology (N \*sunhyV 'to feel').
- (25) \*ĉäyUrV 'hair' > S \*ŝi'Sar-, \*'ŝaSar- 'hair', \*ŝaSar-at- 'a hair' | Cush.: CCush.

  \*c3gW3r 'hair', Lowland East Cush. \*dogor 'hair'; my proto-Cush. reconstruction (1973) was

  \*ĉagWVr | Chad.: WCh. \*ŝVHVr (Stolbova's \*ĉVHVr) 'hair' || FU (Ugr. only) \*ŝäg (u rV 'hair' | ? Mong.:

  Script Mong. soir 'coarse long hair' | D \*cōra 'a hair'.
- (26) \*ĉe'p'V 'heel, foot, part of leg'> S \*ŝap- (or \*ŝaHp- ?) 'foot, trace' | Cush.: Central Cush. \*cāp- 'heel, sandals' | U \*ŝsewća 'part of leg' (sp.) | Tung. \*ća'p'a' 'heel (?)'.
- (27) \*ĉ♥x♥ 'to burn' (tr.) > HS: Cush. (acc. to Ehret) \*ŝah(aw)- 'to burn' > SCush. \*ŝah-, etc.) | K \*ċx- 'to be hot (hot weather, sun)', \*ċxe- 'heat, hot weather, fever'.
- (28) \*cotV 'to exercise magic forces' (+'to curse', 'to bless') > K \*ccodw- 'to sin', 'sin' | S \*/\$wt (+ \*/\$yt) 'to harm by magic' (+ 'to slander', 'to insult', 'to cause somebody's death'), \*/\$tn 'to bear ill-will, to be hostile' | Eg. štm 'to slander' | U \*\$ot<sup>(a)</sup>' (magic) force' (+ 'to curse', 'to bless') | D \*cott- 'defect, blame', (+) 'insinuation, disparaging remark'.
- (29) \*ka <sup>f</sup>C <sup>l</sup>U 'to scratch, to scrape off scales' > K \*kaccur- (assimilation from \*kacur-?) 'to scratch' | S \*/k88, \*/k8w 'to rub, wipe, bark', \*/k8r 'scales', 'to scrape off scales' | Omotic: Kaffa kučč- 'to scratch' | ?WCh. \*qWaSsa (St.'s \*qWacsa) 'itch, scab', 'to scrape' | IE \*kes- 'to scratch, scrape', \*kseu- 'scaben, reiben' | D \*kacc- 'itch', 'to scratch for relief'. Illič-Svitič (OS I 343-4) compared the S, IE and D roots and reconstructed the N root as \*KaSV.

- $\hat{s}$  (ambiguous cases in which we lack evidence to distinguish between N \*\$ and \*\$):
- (30) \*räŝkV 'to sprinkle', (→?) 'dew, moisture' > S \*√rŝŝ 'to besprinkle' | IE \*rŏs-, \*ros-ā 'dew, moisture' | FU \*räŝV 'to sprinkle', (+) 'to moisture' | ? Mong. nesi 'blood from an animal or a sword'.
- (31)  $*\hat{s}|\hat{c}^{(0)}hy\nabla$  or  $*\hat{s}|\hat{c}^{(0)}hi$  'to wish' > S  $*\hat{s}hy/w \sim *\hat{s}wy$  'to wish' | FU  $*\hat{s}\bar{o}y\nabla$  (or  $*\hat{s}\bar{o}y\nabla$ ) 'to wish, to strive'.
- ? (32) \* 'w ok  $\nabla \hat{s} \hat{c} \nabla$  'a canine (sp.)' > S \*k $\nabla \hat{s}$  'wolf' or sim. | Berb. \*/w sk n 'jackal' | FU (in Ob-Ugr. only) \* w ok  $\nabla \nabla \nabla$  'fox'.
- (33) \*ĉ|ŝar∇' 'to be dry' > S \*√ŝrr 'to dry' | Eg. w-šr 'trocknen, verdorren', s-šr 'trocknen (tr.)' | IE \*ksĕro- 'dry', \*ksĕr-j- 'to dry' | FU \*ŝar∇ 'to become dry' | ? D \*car- 'rough of surface, coarse'.
- (34) \*\$|Ci]||U 'to take (away, off, up)' > S \*/81w ~ \*/811 'to take, take away/off' ||

  IE \*sel(wo)- 'to take, grasp' || FU (in Ugr. only) \*\$i]||TV 'to acquire' || D \*ci](i) 'to take off'.

  (34a) \*\$|CopV 'to sew (leather), leather' > S \*/8pyw 'to sew (leather)' | CCh. \*\$Vp- 'to sew' || FU

  \*\$opa 'cloth(es)' '| Mong. sabkin 'leather' || Tung. \*sőbgő 'fish-skin used as leather'.
- (35) \*rEç( $\nabla_j \chi \nabla$  'to wash' > S-\*/rhç (metathesis from \*\*/rçh?) 'to wash' | K \*rċ $\chi$  id. (deglottalization of the expected \*¢ due to assimilation: \*-¢ $\chi$  > \*-ċ $\chi$ -) | ? Mong. nisqa- 'to wash a corpse'.
- (36) \*ÇoypV('a' 'mud, clay, slush' (→ 'filth, dung', 'litter') > S \*√çp\ 'excrement, filth' | Cush.: SCush. \*çūf- 'mud', ? ECush.: Saho ḍōbo 'mud' or ECush. \*sub\- ~ \*sib\- 'mud' || U \*∰Soywa 'clay' || Turk. \*čöp 'sediment, dregs' || ? D \*cav- 'fuller's earth, sediment'.
- (37) \* $\hat{c}^{(i)}$  (? $\hat{V}$ )  $\hat{V}$  'little, small' > K \* $\hat{c}$ ul<sub>2</sub>- (Klimov's \* $\hat{c}$ <sub>1</sub>uz<sub>1</sub>-) '(male) child, boy' | S \* $\hat{c}$ ?1 > Arab.  $\hat{c}$ ?1 'parvus, tenuis, vilis et macilentus fuit' | U \* $\hat{c}$ (e)  $\hat{V}$  'a small thing' and possibly \* $\hat{c}$ aiv 'the small finger' | Mong.  $\hat{c}$ 0 | Mong.  $\hat{c}$ 0 | Ui (d)- 'to diminish, be reduced' | D \* $\hat{c}$ 111- 'small'.
- (38) \*Ĉ∇m (∇) (31∇ 'to plait/tie together' > S \* Émd (dissimilation from Prae-Sem. \*\*√ĉm3?)
  'to tie/bind together, to attach' | K \*ĉmas- 'to plait/braid together' | ? U (in Samoyedic only)
  \*(s)im(s)a (assimilation from \*\*ŝimsa?) 'zusammenwickeln, umwickeln' | ?? Mong. camcay 'shirt'.
- (39) \*weçE?V 'to go out' > S \*/wç?, \*-wçi?- 'to go out' || IE \*wesk-, (?) \*west- 'to go (out, away)' || D \*vecc- 'to take out'.
- (40) \*ÇVhw'i' 'to burn' (tr.), (+) 'to warm intensely, to be hot (of the sun)' > S \*√Çhy 'heat of the sun' | SCush.: proto-Rift \*Çah-'to warm (of the sun)' | K \*Çw- 'to burn' (tr., intr.), 'to be very hot' | ? Turk. \*čabār 'kindling' (T \*-b- < N \*-w-).
- (41) ? \*Çap♥ 'to grasp, take' > K \*çap- 'to grasp, take, acquire' S \*√çbţ 'to take' Tung. \*ćap- 'to grasp'. The root is ideophonic and hence dubious.
- (42) \*nacv(qV) 'to moisten, be moistened' > S \*/nex 'to irrigate, sprinkle' | IE \*yes-and S 'to seethe, ferment, stir' (+ 'to be moist'?) | FU \*nac(k)V 'moist'. In U, there is partial influence of the paronymic N root \*näč(V) hA (> U \*näčä 'moist', ?? S \*/neh, cf. Illič-Svitič MS 333), whence the blended root variants: U \*načkV 'moist', S \*/neh 'to irrigate, sprinkle'. The K root represented by Svan nic 'moist' is likely to belong to one of these two roots.
- (43) ?? \* $\U(y)$ m $\V(\hat{\varsigma})$  $\V \approx 'shin$ , thigh' > K \* $\V(q)$  > Megr. kwin $\V(q)$  > Megr. kwin $\V(q)$  'shin, thigh, leg' | Cush. \* $\V(q)$  'buttocks' and Beja kemūs (Reinisch) id. A very questionable root.
- (44) \* $\gamma$  (à)  $\hat{\nabla}$  'to cut, cut asunder' > K \* $\gamma$ eç- > Megr.  $\gamma$ ač- 'scheren, schneiden' | S \* $\gamma$ çw 'to cut asunder, divide', \* $\gamma$ iệ- 'piece, portion' | Eg.  $\hat{\nabla}$  'hacken' | Cush.: ? SCush. \* $\gamma$  'to be separated, divided up' | ?? U (in Samoyedic only) \* $\gamma$  (or 1 \* $\hat{\nabla}$  (or 1 \* $\hat{\nabla}$  2 \*-u-, 3 \*-\$\$k-) 'to cut' (\*-i- < \*-ä- due to the influence of \* $\gamma$ -) | D \*ac- 'to cut'.

- (45) \*Ĉ♥H(♥)m♥ 'daylight' > K \*ċam- 'morning' | HS: SCush. \*√ĉhm 'day, daylight' | ? Mong.: Script Mong. comur 'clear'.
- (46) \*pV( $\hat{c}$ )U 'a hair, eyelash' > K \*p(i) $\hat{c}$ w- 'eyelash' | HS: Chad. \*m-PV( $\hat{c}$ ) $\nabla$  > WChad. \*m-PV( $\hat{c}$ ) $\nabla$  'hair' | ? D \*potta 'eyebrow' or 'eyelash'.
- (47) \*k'Ü'çV or \*keçU 'to tear, to skin' > ? K \*kudç- 'zerhauen, zerstückeln' | HS: S \*-kīç-/\*-kūç- 'to tear, to separate, to skin' | FU \*küŝV- ~ \*keŝV- 'to tear, to bark, to flay'.
- (48) \*ç̂i|upV 'to be narrow, compressed' > HS: S \*/ç̂pp ~ \*/ç̂bb 'to be narrow, compressed' | Cush.: CCush. \*/cbb 'to be narrow, straitened' | FU \*8suppV 'schmal, eng' | Tung. \*cIp-(or \*tIp-?) 'to choke with smth.'.
- (49) \*ç̂aη∇ 'to jump, skip' > S \*√ç̂md 'to jump' | FU \*ŝaη∇ 'to gallop, jump' | ?? Tung.: Nanay ćangoar, ćansoap 'quickly, suddenly' | D \*cank- 'to jump, leap'.
- (50) \*çab 777 'war', 'warriors, army' > S \* çb? 'to wage war', \*çaba?- 'war, army', Eg. 3bi 'army' (1.-w. from Semitic?) | Tung. \*cab u ka 'army, war'.
- (51) \*çar?V 'nipple, teat', 'udder' (→ \*'chest' →) 'front' > S \*çar?- 'nipple, teat', 'udder' | FU \*\$\sqrt{a}\rV 'front', (→ 'earlier') | ? Mong. cara- 'to prepare, advance, prevent' (+ \*'to be ready earlier') | D \*car- 'udder'.

# \* ជុំជំ (ambiguous case):

(52)  $*\hat{c}$ [CVHLV 'to be compassionate, have mercy' > K \*cqal- id. | S \*/\$h1 (deglottalization from \*/ $\hat{c}$ h1 ?) 'to be gracious, have mercy, be compassionate'.

#### \* ÷

- (53) \*?EŽEKU 'thorn, hook' (→ 'tooth') > S \*ŝikk-(at-) 'thorn', 'pin, nail' | Cush. (after Ehret) \*?iŝkw-, (to my opinion) \*?iŝikw- 'tooth' > SCush. \*?išikwa, ECush. \*il Vkkw- id., CCush. \*aRkw- id. | Tung. \*älgö 'hook, 'fish-spear', 'bear's fang' | Mong. elgü-, ölgü- 'to hang (on), suspend', elgün qada- 'to nail onto (as pictures on the wall)'.
- (54) \*sü2(V) XV 'to glow, burn' > K \*sx'ull- or \*cx'ull- > Svan схиl- 'опалить, обжечь' | IE \*swel- 'to glow, burn' | U \*sü2e 'embers, charcoal' | ? Mong. sülü 'incense' | Tung. \*sul'ull- 'flame' | D \*cut- 'to be hot, burn (intr.)', 'to roast, burn (tr.)'.
- (55) ?? \*2omV 'heat' (+ 'sun') > S \*'\$amaš- 'sun' | Eg. šm, šmm 'to be hot' | WChad.

  \*2\pi Vm- > Angas lem 'sun' | FU \*lomV (or \*lomV) 'warmth, flame, embers'. Semitic \*'\$amaš- 'sun' is
  likely to go back to a word group \*2amV mV3V 'hot/burning sun/fire', with the second component

  \*mV3V represented in K \*mže 'sun' and Berb. \*/ms 'fire', cf. below item (61).
- (56) \*2ä 'Ki d d 'to move, go' > S \*√ŝkd 'to give' (+ \*'to move (tr.)') | Chad.: WChad.
  \*2⅓ak d 'd (Stolbova's \*á⅓akAd-) 'to move' | IE \*leit-/\*lĬt- 'to go' | FU \*läkte- 'to go, go out' |
  D \*naţ- 'to walk, go, pass'.
- (57) ? \*2Eñc∇ 'chest' > U \*8ü(fi)ĉä 'breast', 'Inneres' | D \*nefc- 'chest, heart'. IE \*leisd-, \*loisd- 'Rand, Saum' might well belong here (from the point of view of regular sound correspondences), but the semantic change involved is complicated and therefore dubious.

## \* 3 :

(58) \*3ap♥ 'lip', (+) 'edge, shore, riverbank' > HS: S \*\$ap-at- 'lip', 'shore, riverbank' |
Eg. sp.t (Erman-Grapow's \$p.t) id. (loanword from Semitic?) | Cush.: ? SCush. \*\$ūf- 'lip' | ? Chad.:
Hausa lèḥé:, Kirfi lɔ́vɔk 'lip' | IE \*lab-yo-(m), \*lab-uro-(m), \*lab-s- 'lip' | Mong. ʒabaʒi 'corner
of the mouth' | Tung. \*ʒapka 'edge, shore, riverbank'.

- (59) \*3ir'u''root' > K \*3ir-'root, bottom' | S \*'Siluraw-'sinew, root' | Berb. \*/zwr (\*zūr, \*zwVr-) 'root, vein' | Central Cush. \*zir 'root' | pChad. \*/\$2rw 'root' (according to Jung-raithmayr and Shimizu) > WCh. \*\$arwa- ~ \*\$arya id., CCh.: proto-Mofa-Mada \*\$iray 'root', EChad.: Ndam sírwé 'root', etc. | IE \*ser(w)- 'vein, thread', (+) 'string', 'to join in a string' | D \*cīr- 'root'.
- (60) \*3im√ 'to put, to place' (+ 'to do') > K \*2zm- 'to do' | S \*8Im- 'to put, place, set' | Mong. 3ime ~ 3eme 'conduct, manner of behavior, procedure' (+ \*'doing, manner of doing').
- (61) ? \*m $\sqrt{3}$ V 'fire (especially as source of heat)' (+ 'sun) > K \*mź-e 'sun' | HS: Berb. \*-misI- 'fire' | ?? Central Chadic \*/gm² 'fire' (a composite root with the second element \*-m²-?)|| IE \*molko- 'firewood, fuel' | ? D \*mūļ-/\*muţţ- 'to kindle a fire'. Cp. Illič-Svitŧč OS II 77-78 (\*m $^{\prime}$ /½/ $^{\prime}$ Λ, i.e. \*m $^{\prime}$ /½/ $^{\prime}$ V 'bright, sun') and Dolgopolsky in *Voprost yazŧkoznaniya* 1964: 2, p. 54, where K \*mż-e was compared to the Semitic root (then erroneously reconstructed as \*šmš and interpreted as reduplication).
- (62) \*3a|0 kV ( ~ \*2akV) 'to push, throw' > K \*3Vk 'to kick' | S \*√8kk > Arab. √šqq 'to grow (tooth, plant)', 'to pass through (a thong)' | Chad.: ? WCh. \*3ikq- 'to push' | IE \*1akk- (or \*1agk-)'to kick' | FU \*2okkV 'to push, butt'.

Uncertainty symbols: \*ab = "\*a or \*b", \*(a) = "\*a or sim."; \*(a) = "\*a or zero"(\*'kar(a)n-= "\*'karan- or \*'karn-").